

Sammamish-Juanita 115 kV Project

Public Communications Report: September 2 – September 30, 2012

Between September 2, 2012 and September 30, 2012, the public submitted communications to Puget Sound Energy about the Sammamish-Juanita 115 kV Transmission Line Project.

Communications from the public are listed by date received. A few things to keep in mind about the report:

- This report includes communications from the public about the project via letters, emails and phone calls.
- The report does not include those communications where commenters requested their comments not be shared with the public. In addition, the report does not include communications regarding right of entry or specific property concerns, nor does it include communications from the advisory group or the media.
- Email communications sent to info@sammjuan115.com are sent an auto-reply. To the extent possible, communications requesting information are responded to by the PSE team.
- Phone conversations are summarized by the PSE staff member who took the call.
- To the extent possible, all commenters are added to the project mailing list unless they request not to be on it.
- Personally-identifying data, such as name, phone number or address, have been removed from this report to protect privacy.
- The report includes communication location maps, which are based on the commenter's address. If the commenter did not provide an address, then the communication was not mapped.

PSE Sammamish-Juanita 115 kV - Communications



Filtered by

Date Received

from 9/2/2012 to 9/30/2012

Communication ID # 27985

Communication (9/27/2012)

[[name] called on behalf of the [business name] property located at [address]. According to their website: [business name] was formed in May 2012 to acquire one [identifying information] in Redmond, WA. The property is [identifying information] [business name].

[name] was wondering why we came up the route we did and I explained how we were attempting to balance the needs and desires of the community with those of the City with regards to the view corridor. He seemed to suggest that they are supportive of keeping it off Willows Rd and that they would have greater "impacts" if the line extended down the road to them. There really wasn't a request or action item that came out of this conversation but I would ask that we make sure that they are the the folks listed for this parcel on future correspondence.]

Communication ID # 27951

Communication (9/26/2012)

[I just got off the phone with [name], a local [company name] representative. I emailed him several months ago to get his company involved with the route selection but never got a response. He wanted to know why PSE couldn't simply head West out of the Sammamish substation and proceed Northerly behind the [company name] properties. I explained that the existing NGPE, TDR's, steep slopes, sensitive areas, etc. were fatal to that particular route. As such, he wants to contact [name] for additional information. Would you have [name]' phone number and email address?

Also, he was interested in seeing some of our photo sims along Willows Road showing the before and after scenario. I know we had poster board presentations at the public meetings, but do we have anything I could mail him?]

Communication ID # 27944

Communication (9/25/2012)

Thank you so much for choosing the best route, the route that affects the fewest homes and individuals. I am greatly relieved that my neighborhood was spared the intrusion and subsequent property devaluation.

I also appreciate that your committee listened to community feedback.

Communication ID # 27945

Communication (9/25/2012)

[A customer called with questions about the Juanita Substation project but I couldn't help her. Can you call her or direct this message to someone who might be able to help?

[name] – [phone number] understands that the substation will be on NE 124th, but she would like to know exactly what the cross street is and the square footage. She would like you to leave a message with that information if she doesn't answer.]

Communication ID # 27948

Communication (9/25/2012)

Good day,

I interested in how the line will go down the rail corridor. Will it be above ground or below? I am interested in how it will affect the interim and final use of the rail/trail corridor.

Thanks,

[name]

[company name]

[phone number]

Communication ID # 27983

Communication (9/21/2012)

[Had a very brief but pleasant conversation with [name]. See asked the typical questions about about poles, location in the corridor etc. I shared with her that we were only starting the micrositing process and do not know where if any locations we would need additional rights along their property. I did suggest that they would likely be overhang rights if they were needed. As you may or may not know, they are considering expanding their facilities on their parcel and my guess is they are going to be disinclined to consider any easements that may affect that plan.]

Communication ID # 27984

Communication (9/21/2012)

Good morning Jason –

Thank you for your time to discuss PSE's project and coordinate for us to address the transmission line route as it passes adjacent to [name] property. As we discussed, we are the attorneys for [name] and will be working with PSE once there are more concrete project details to consider. Please add me to your mailing list as a second property contact for [name]. As well, please do forward my contact information to the project manager in the event I can review any standard designs and/or common impacts to adjacent property for transmission line corridors such as this.

Thanks again.

Communication ID # 27890

Communication (9/20/2012)

Thanks Barry, I appreciate the detail and quick response.

We will stay tuned.

Sincerely,

[name]

Communication ID # 27891

Communication (9/20/2012)

Hello PSE folks,

We have a property called [business] on the south side of the railroad ROW at 12700 – [address] and I have some questions:

- Is the new line proposed to run on the north or the south side of the railroad tracks in the ROW?
- What is the spacing of the poles/supports?
- What is the footprint of the poles/supports?

Thanks much,

Communication ID # 27825

Communication (9/18/2012)

Good Afternoon –

We were sent the attached letter and missed the August Open Houses. Our property is located on NE 122nd Way, Kirkland, WA 98034 which is directly south of NE 1224th Street.

Can you please assist with the following questions:

-is the route along NE 124th St. still the preferred route?

-what impact to the property can we expect should this install be approved? Outages, traffic redirects, etc...?

-when is the preferred route decision be made?

Thank you for your help.

Sincerely,

[name]

Communication ID # 27823

Communication (9/17/2012)

Hi Barry,

Just checking to see whether we're still on course for implementing the routes that were selected earlier this year.

Thanks,

[name]

Communication ID # 27806

Communication (9/12/2012)

[I had a rather animated discussion with [name]. First, he was upset because he has never received any of our project mailings. I told him that PSE had relied on the tax roll for this information. He said the tax roll was wrong and we should use : [address]. I assured him future mailings would go to this address. Secondly, he was frustrated by the lack of detail on our recommended preferred route map. Lastly, he had a bad real estate experience with PSE 15 years ago, so he is very cautious about our motives. He said he PSE should be constructing this project on Willows Road and said the City of Redmond has exercised different policies concerning the view corridor depending on the prevailing political regimes. I will check into the real estate issue and find out what happened. I will mail him a large map and a new right of entry today.]

Maps of Communications Received from September 2 – September 30, 2012

Project Area

